

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STAVES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 8.0 Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usoio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,350	11/29/2001	Thomas W. Lanzatella	1557-005US1	6058
7	590 01/18/2006	EXAMINER		
B Noel Kivlin	1	THAI, HANH B		
•	od Kivlin Kowert & Go	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O Box 398			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2163	
		DATE MAILED: 01/18/200	DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/997,350	LANZATELLA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hanh B. Thai	2163		

Before the Fining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hanh B. Thai	2163				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS <ol> <li>         The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:     </li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause			
<ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rej	TE below);				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(770) 004			
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 21-28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		:				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13.		an	<u></u>			
	SUPERVI	SAFET METJAMIC SORY DIAM	MER			

TECHNOLOGY C.

4.23

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amended limitation "receiving a request to access a storage object residing on a non-volatile storage device of the first storage environment" of claim 1 has changed the scope of the claims that need further search and reconsideration.